

**MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
CRIMINAL JUSTICE / LAW ENFORCEMENT
PROGRAM**

2012 – 2013



**EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL
JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG)
& RECOVERY–JAG
EVALUATION PLAN**

Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director
Missouri State Highway Patrol, Statistical Analysis Center

Funding for this report was provided by the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Grant Program through the office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance; Award #2010-DJ-BX-0066

September 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--------------------------|----------|
| INTRODUCTION..... | 3 |
|--------------------------|----------|

PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS | 4 |
| PROSECUTION AND COURT PROGRAMS..... | 17 |
| PREVENTION AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS | 19 |
| CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAMS..... | 21 |
| DRUG TREATMENT PROGRAMS..... | 22 |
| PLANNING, EVALUATION AND TECHNCOLOGY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 24 |
| CRIME VICTIM AND WITNESS PROGRAMS | 27 |
| CRIME LABORATORY PROJECTS | 28 |

INTRODUCTION

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program provides criminal justice authorities with substantial support in their endeavors to address Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems. The U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) administers this program at the federal level and the Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS) administers it at the state level. In Missouri, the unit that administers this program within the DPS-Office of Director is known as the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement (CJ/LE) Program and will be referred to as CJ/LE throughout this report.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act or ARRA) was signed into law by President Obama on February 17, 2009, providing additional funding for the JAG Program. With these monies, the DPS created the Recovery-Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Recovery-JAG) program and the Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Grant (MJCCG) program. These programs provide additional resources to criminal justice agencies to support their endeavors to address Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems.

Program evaluation is an essential CJ/LE responsibility required by its enabling legislation. To meet this responsibility, BJA has provided states with guidelines, technical training, and support for assessing JAG-funded programs. In Missouri, the DPS has contracted with the Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP), Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) to administer the evaluation component of the CJ/LE Program. The SAC plays a major role in the development of Missouri's drug and violent crime strategy and the evaluation of the JAG, Recovery-JAG, and MJCCG programs.

This evaluation plan provides a description of FY13 JAG, Recovery-JAG, and MJCCG project evaluation designs developed by SAC and DPS. These evaluations are mostly administrative or process in nature.

LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

This purpose area focuses on policing and multi-jurisdictional, multi-agency counter drug enforcement effort, with emphasis placed on collaboration and partnerships within the multi-jurisdictional approach to drug enforcement. A comprehensive understanding of responsibilities and expectations by task force partners is established with memorandums of understanding/agreements between all partners of multi-jurisdictional enforcement groups

Methamphetamine is a priority for aggressive law enforcement strategy designed to slow or halt the spread of this drug. Because problems associated with methamphetamine transcend boundaries, partnerships have been forged to address public safety, public health, and the environment sovereignty of Missouri.

Internet cyber crime, especially those sex crimes committed against children, is also a priority for aggressive law enforcement strategy. Because problems associated with the internet also greatly transcend boundaries, partnerships have been forged to address public safety.

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

- Jackson County - Drug Abatement Response Team (DART) (*Source of Funds: JAG*)
- Missouri State Highway Patrol - Rural Crimes Investigative Unit (*Source of Funds: Recovery-JAG*)
- Missouri State Highway Patrol - State Helicopter Project (*Source of Funds: Recovery-JAG*)

Drug Task Force (DTF) Quarterly Progress Report Automated Information System designed for:

- Adair County - North Missouri (NOMO) Drug Task Force
- Audrain County - East Central Drug Task Force (ECDTF)
- Barry County - Southwest Missouri Drug Task Force
- Board of Police Commissioners - Kansas City Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
- Bridgeton City - Municipal Enforcement Group Against Drug Abuse (MEGADA)
- Buchanan County - Buchanan County Drug Strike Force
- Camden County - Lake Area Narcotics Enforcement Group (LANEG)
- Clay County - Clay County Drug Task Force
- Cole County - Mid-Missouri Unified Strike Team and Narcotics Group (MUSTANG)
- Farmington City - Mineral Area Drug Task Force (MADTF)
- Franklin County Narcotics Enforcement Unit
- Greene County - Combined Ozarks Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Team (COMET)
- Grundy County - NITRO Drug Task Force
- Jackson County Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
- Jasper County Drug Task Force
- Jefferson County Municipal Enforcement Group
- Lafayette County Narcotics Unit
- Monroe City - Northeast Missouri (NEMO) Narcotics Task Force
- Morgan County - Mid-Missouri Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
- Pemiscot County - Bootheel Drug Task Force
- Platte County Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Group (PCMEG)
- Poplar Bluff City - Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Drug Task Force
- St. Charles County Regional Drug Task Force (SCCRDTF)
- St. Louis City Multi-Jurisdictional Undercover Drug Program
- St. Louis County Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
- Vernon County - Community Narcotics Enforcement Team (CNET)
- West Plains City - South Central Drug Task Force

(Source of Funds: JAG and Recovery-JAG)

Cyber Crime Quarterly Progress Report Automated Information System designed for:

- Boone County Sheriff's Department Cyber Crimes
- Clayton City Regional Computer Crimes Education and Enforcement Group (RCCEEG)
- Dent County Computer Crime Task Force
- Independence City Northeastern Jackson County Working Group Against Internet Crimes
- Joplin City Southwestern MO Cyber Crime Task Force
- Kirksville City Regional Computer Crimes Unit
- Malden City Tri-County Cyber Crime Task Force Initiative
- Missouri Department of Social Services - STAT Operation Cyber-Safe
- Missouri State Highway Patrol - Digital Forensic Investigative Unit
- Platte County Western Missouri Cyber Crime Task Force
- Poplar Bluff City Southeast (SEMO) Cyber Crimes Task Force
- Springfield City Internet Cyber Crime Initiative
- St. Charles County Internet Crimes Against Children
- St. Louis County Special Investigation Unit
- Stone County Tri-Lake Regional Internet Crimes Task Force

(Source of Funds: MJCCG)

JACKSON COUNTY - DRUG ABATEMENT RESPONSE TEAM (DART): This project continues support to DART, a multi-jurisdictional initiative to identify and shut down drug houses and street level narcotics operations in thirteen municipal jurisdictions in Jackson County. DART provides an interagency mechanism through which residents in Jackson County, Missouri, can report illegal narcotics activity within their respective communities. The goal of this program is to eliminate illegal drug activity in the Jackson County community by coordinating and utilizing several sources. Through these efforts, the quality of life in the target area is restored and protected. Suspected drug activity can be anonymously reported to DART members who then communicate the information to law enforcement for investigation. DART also coordinates street level investigations, buy / bust and reverse sting operations, property fire and housing code inspections of suspected drug houses, and notification of drug activity and its consequences to property owners. Property owner seminars, community presentations, and citizen training given on recognition of drug activities are provided by DART members.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management and support services employed to implement the project
- Number of citizen reports of drug activity received by DART
- Number of drug houses and drug distribution operations closed
- Number of property owners trained on drug activity recognition
- Number of buy / bust / reverse sting operations coordinated with Patrol officers, community police, and prosecutors
- Number of property fire hazard and building code inspections completed, and number of notifications of drug activity made to property owners
- Number of community organizations given drug awareness presentations or training
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of this project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

MISSOURI STATE HIGHWAY PARTOL - RURAL CRIMES INVESTIGATIVE UNIT: This project supports Missouri State Highway Patrol with the employment of ten criminal investigators and two civilian criminal intelligence analysts. The goal of this program is to maximize statewide coverage by dispersing criminal investigators in six regional geographic areas and centrally locating unit's crime analysts in Jefferson City. This project is to investigate rural crime incidents and to provide assistance to other law enforcement agencies with their investigations of these crimes. This goal will be achieved by completing two objectives 1) Increase personnel assigned to the rural crimes investigation unit by five percent; and 2) Increase the number investigations in fiscal year 12 as compared to prior fiscal year.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management and support services employed to implement the project
- Number of rural crime incidents handled by unit in fiscal years 11 and 12, and percent change in number of incidents between years
- Number of rural crime incidents worked in conjunction with other law enforcement agencies
- Number of arrests made as a result of rural crime investigations in fiscal years 11 and 12
- Type and value of property recovered as a result of convictions of offenders arrested from rural crime investigations
- Number of criminal investigators and criminal intelligence analysts assigned to rural crime unit before and after project
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

MISSOURI STATE HIGHWAY PARTOL - STATE HELICOPTER PROJECT: This project supports Missouri State Highway Patrol's purchase of a new helicopter and helicopter flight simulator to train pilots for normal and emergency situations. This helicopter will be deployed to situations throughout the state and the flight simulator will provide training services to the MSHP and other law enforcement agencies. The goal of this program is to increase the Missouri State Highway Patrol's capability to provide dedicated airborne assets to public safety incidents that threaten life, welfare, and property in Missouri. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives 1) Provide search and rescue missions upon request within three months of acquisition of helicopter; 2) Enhance citizens and officers safety by providing helicopter pilots' use of live video downlinks and moving maps; 3) Provide helicopter training to law enforcement agencies to ensure pilot safety.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management and support services employed to implement the project
- Timely acquisition, installation, and implementation of new Patrol helicopter and helicopter flight simulator
- Number of trainees, agencies, and hours of training provided on helicopter flight simulator
- Number of helicopter flights and flight hours logged to search and rescue operations, criminal investigations, man hunts, and other public safety incidents
- Number of hours of maintenance provided for upkeep of helicopter
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

DTF Quarterly Automated Information System Report:

Drug Task Force

Organization

Indicate the total number of law enforcement agencies comprising the multi-jurisdictional task force as well as any others participating in task force work activities during the reporting period.

Number of law enforcement agencies

Indicate the total number of law enforcement officers (part-time and full-time) comprising the multi-jurisdictional task force during the reporting period.

Number of law enforcement officers
Part Time Full Time

Investigations/Cases

Investigations/Cases should be counted as those incidents involving task force action resulting in post-response reports being written. Until this happens, tips and information received should be considered gathered intelligence, not individual cases.

Indicate the number of active investigations/cases at the start of the reporting period. For newly created task forces, this number will be zero to start the quarter. For existing task forces, this number should correspond to the number of cases still active at the end of the prior quarter.

**Number of active investigations/cases
at beginning of the quarter**

**Number of new investigations/cases
initiated this quarter**

Number of cases disposed this quarter

**Number cases with evidence submitted
to a State Crime Lab**

Arrest Activity

**Total number of persons arrested for
one or more drug offenses**

Arrest Breakdown

Based on the total number of arrests indicated above, provide the total number of charges associated with each arrest for which the individual was picked up. Total charges must equal or exceed the total number of persons arrested.

| Row | Paraphernalia/Possession | Sales/Manufacture |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Marijuana | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Cocaine | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Crack Cocaine | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Methamphetamine | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Heroin/Opiates | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Hallucinogens - LSD | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Hallucinogens - PCP | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Paraphernalia | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Ecstasy | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Anhydrous Ammonia | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Other illicit drugs | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |

Arrest Breakdown - Other Drugs

If you identified an arrest for "other" illicit drugs, please list the type of drug for which the arrest was made. If reporting multiple "other" arrests, provide the arrest count with each type of drug.

Font family Font size **B** *I* **U** | | | | | |

Drug Purchases and Free Samples

Number of drug buys made
 Dollar value of drug buys \$0.00
 Number of reverse drug buys made
 Dollar value of reverse drug buys \$0.00
 Number of free samples received
 Dollar value (estimate) of drugs received from free samples, based on local street value at time received \$0.00

Free Sample Breakdown

Based on the numbers identified above, provide the quantities and types of drugs acquired through drug buys, reverse drug buys, and free samples received during the reporting period. Enter the suspected drug type; do not wait for scientific lab examination results.

| Row | Kilograms | Pounds | Ounces | Grams | Doses/Pills | Gallons |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|---------|
| Marijuana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cocaine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crack Cocaine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Methamphetamine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heroin/Opiates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hallucinogens - LSD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hallucinogens - PCP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ecstasy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anhydrous Ammonia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Drugs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Free Sample Breakdown - Other Drugs

If you identified a free sample of an "other" drug, please list the drug type. If reporting multiple "other" free samples, provide the quantity per drug type.

Informant Expenses

Number of active informants paid
 Dollar value expended on active informants \$0.00

Search Warrants

Number of search warrants applied for

Number of search warrants authorized

Number of search warrants served

Number of search warrants served resulting in drug and/or paraphernalia seizures

Number of consent searches conducted

Indicate the number of search warrants served in each county of your jurisdiction:

A horizontal toolbar with various icons for text editing, including font family, font size, bold, italic, underline, and alignment options.

Marijuana Eradicated

Indicate the types of marijuana destroyed through eradication operations.

| Row | Kilograms | Pounds | Ounces | Grams | Plants |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Wild | <input type="text"/> |
| Cultivated | <input type="text"/> |
| Sinsemilla | <input type="text"/> |

Methamphetamine Drug Labs

Number of meth drug labs destroyed:

Indicate the number of methamphetamine labs destroyed in each county of your jurisdiction:

Drug Seizures

Estimated dollar value of all drugs seized, based on local street cost \$0.00

Drug Seizure Breakdown

Describe the types of drugs seized as a result of search warrants, consent searches, and arrests. (Do not include drug buys and free samples.) Enter quantities at time of seizure.

| Row | Kilograms | Pounds | Ounces | Grams | Doses/Pills | Gallons |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|---------|
| Marijuana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cocaine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crack Cocaine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Methamphetamine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heroin/Opiates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hallucinogens - LSD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hallucinogens - PCP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ecstasy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anhydrous Ammonia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Drugs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Drug Seizure Breakdown - Other Drugs

If you identified "other" drugs seized as a result of a search warrant, please list them and the quantity seized per drug.

Font family ▾ Font size ▾ **B** *I* U | | | | | |

Narrative

Describe all work activities or areas of interest/concern not reported in the prior sections.

Include any activities that will assist the Department of Public Safety to properly review and evaluate the program. For example, it might be appropriate to describe (without confidential information or details) a lengthy intelligence operation, which has not yet resulted in arrests or significant drug/asset seizures. Describe all special training programs completed by task force officers (e.g. SERT, polygraph, criminal prosecution classes, etc).

Font family ▾ Font size ▾ **B** *I* U | | | | | |

[Return to Top](#)

Cyber Crime Quarterly Automated Information System Report:

Instructions

Unless noted otherwise, all data supplied on this quarter report should correspond to activities occurring within the reporting period.

Do not duplicate statistical data that has been reported by another agency!

Agency

Number of law enforcement agencies involved in cyber crime work activities*

Number of officers involved in cyber crime work activities Part-Time Full-time

Cases/Investigations

Number of active cases/investigations at the start of the reporting period

Number of new cases/investigations initiated during the reporting period

Number of cases disposed of during the reporting period

Number of tips or reports received from outside persons during reporting period

Number of cases/investigations initiated but referred to other agencies during reporting period

In-State Agency

Out-of-State Agency

Other Country

Federal Agency

Other

Indicate to which "other" agencies a case/investigation was referred:

Case Activity

Each hard drive examined shall count as one exam. All other media regardless of size or type (per case) will count as one additional exam

Number of forensic examinations conducted on media during reporting period

Purpose of above mentioned forensic examinations conducted during reporting period. Click the "Help" icon at the top of the webpage for definitions of the following offenses.

Distribution/receipt of child pornography

Possession of child pornography

Production of child pornography

Child solicitation/enticement

Sexual exploitation of a minor

Child trafficking

Child prostitution

Furnishing pornographic materials to minors

| | |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Failure to register as a sex offender | <input type="text"/> |
| Child molestation | <input type="text"/> |
| Sexual abuse of a child | <input type="text"/> |
| Statutory rape/sodomy of a child | <input type="text"/> |
| Other | <input type="text"/> |

Indicate for which "other" purpose a forensic examination was conducted:

Number of cell phone analyses performed during reporting period

Arrest Activity

Number of persons arrested for one or more cyber crime offenses during reporting period

Offenses for which the above mentioned persons were arrested during reporting period. Click the "Help" icon at the top of the webpage for definitions of the following offenses.

Distribution/receipt of child pornography

Possession of child pornography

Production of child pornography

Child solicitation/enticement

Sexual exploitation of a minor

Child trafficking

Child prostitution

Number of search warrants served resulting in cyber crime seizures

Number of "knock and talks" performed during the reporting period

| | |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Furnishing pornographic materials to minors | <input type="text"/> |
| Failure to register as a sex offender | <input type="text"/> |
| Child molestation | <input type="text"/> |
| Sexual abuse of a child | <input type="text"/> |
| Statutory rape/sodomy of a child | <input type="text"/> |
| Other | <input type="text"/> |

Indicate to which "other" offense a person was arrested:

Number of child victims identified during
reporting period

Search Warrants/Visits

Number of search warrants applied for
during reporting period

Number of search warrants authorized
during reporting period

Number of search warrants served
during reporting period

Number of search warrants served
resulting in cyber crime seizures

Number of "knock and talks" performed
during the reporting period

Court ActivityNumber of subpoenas served during reporting period **Computer-Crime Prevention Education Programs/Presentations**

Provide the total number of attendees for each category listed below. Click the "Help" icon at the top of the webpage for examples of each category.

| Row | Number Provided | Number of Attendees |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Businesses | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| General Public | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Law Enforcement Agencies | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| Schools | <input type="text"/> | <input type="text"/> |

Training

Please list all trainings attended during the reporting period as a result of MJCCG grant funding

Course/Training Name* # Of Officers Attended* Synopsis of Training* **Other**

Describe all other work activities or areas of interest/concern not reported in the sections above.

PROSECUTION AND COURT PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

This purpose area provides financial assistance to implement and enhance the response of criminal justice agencies to criminal activity. Training of law enforcement, prosecution, and judicial staff on handling or processing criminal cases as well as establishment of communication between involved criminal justice agencies leads to effective problem resolution.

Efficiency evaluations designed for:

- St. Louis City - Domestic and Sexual Abuse Investigation Project (*Source of Funds: JAG*)

ST. LOUIS CITY - DOMESTIC AND SEXUAL ABUSE INVESTIGATION PROJECT: This project continues support of a domestic and sexual abuse investigator to work with the St. Louis Attorney's Office. The investigator will continue to work with two primary Domestic Violence Attorneys and Victim Advocate Caseworkers. This project focuses on 1) personal service of victims by the investigator who will assure the sharing of resource information and available support thus encouraging participation and subsequently reducing the number of cases dismissed for failure to prosecute, and 2) enhanced investigation, evidence, and trial preparation for prosecution.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Number of domestic violence cases investigated by the St. Louis City Domestic and Sexual Abuse Investigator and prosecuted by the Circuit Attorney Office's Domestic Violence/Sex Crimes Unit
- Rate of change in domestic violence cases prosecuted compared to a like period prior to the grant project
- Number of non-domestic violence cases investigated and prosecuted by the domestic violence team
- Number of domestic violence victims provided information of support services
- Hours expended on domestic violence investigation, evidence collection, and trial preparation
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

PREVENTION AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

This purpose area provides DARE instruction and SRO presence in schools as well as to provide supplies and reference materials to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials to help them promote safety and educate officers and the public on issues that affect themselves and the environment.

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

- Missouri Department of Natural Resources - Clandestine Drug Laboratory Collection Station (*Source of Funds: JAG*)

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES - CLANDESTINE DRUG LABORATORY COLLECTION STATION: This continuing project supports the Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Services Program in responding to methamphetamine clandestine laboratory clean-up requests. The goal of this project is to increase safety and reduce risk of injury to the staff, the public, and the environment exposed to clandestine laboratories. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives: 1) Provide proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials; 2) Provide supplies for processing and disposal of clandestine drug lab materials to clandestine drug laboratory collection stations; and 3) Provide on-site responses to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, when requested by law enforcement, fire station, and other emergency officials.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically to reduce methamphetamine laboratory related injuries of emergency responders
- Number of injury and non-injury related laboratory incidents responded to
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically for processing and disposal of clandestine drug laboratory materials from clandestine drug laboratory collection stations
- Number of requests for on-site assistance to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials)
- Number of on-site responses to requests for assistance to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials)
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAM PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

Corrections Programs aim to supervise offenders and prepare them for return to their communities. Correctional agencies give inmates opportunities to develop life and work skills that will help their return be successful and are using treatment, work, education, and mental health programs to build these skills. Community based corrections is a criminal corrections option that provides an offender with sanctions, supervision, and treatment in a community setting instead of prison

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

NO PROGRAMS FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR

DRUG TREATMENT PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

Drug Treatment Programs identify and meet the treatment needs of adult and juvenile drug dependent and alcohol-dependent offenders. Such programs can include behavioral therapy such as counseling, and cognitive therapy, or psychotherapy, medication, or a combination of both and are intended to provide intensive assistance to those individuals that are battling a substance abuse addiction.

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

- Stone County Prosecuting Attorney - Drug/Alcohol Offender Program (*Source of Funds: JAG*)

STONE COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY - DRUG / ALCOHOL OFFENDER PROGRAM:

This new project supports the Stone County Prosecuting Attorney's office response to offenders and multiple offenders of drug or alcohol related charges. The goal of this project is to address the gap in the current prosecution/treatment model for alcohol/drug offenders. This goal will be achieved by completing four objectives: 1) Provide a drug court to process serious drug offenders; 2) Enhance disposed drug offenders' probation through a combination of probation and parole; and 3) Provide monitoring and supervision through the prosecutor's office.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Timely implementation of Stone County drug court
- Number of drug / alcohol offenders participating in drug court
- Number of offenders successfully completing drug court
- Number of drug test given and percent of positive test results
- Number of cases monitored by prosecutor's office as part of this program
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

PLANNING, EVALUATION, AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

State and local criminal justice agencies must be automated if their reporting to the State Central Repository is to be timely, accurate, and complete. When local agencies are automated and linked to the State Repository, they are able to search federal criminal files, state and federal wanted files, and other databases. Criminal justice databases are important tools when fighting crime and protecting citizens.

In addition, the acquisition of technology is vital for departments to operate efficiently and effectively.

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

- MO State Highway Patrol - Administrative Data Analysis & Problem Identification (*Source of Funds: JAG*)
- MO Department of Corrections - Enhancing Safety in DAI (*Source of Funds: Recovery-JAG*)

MO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL (MSHP) - ADMINISTRATIVE DATA ANALYSIS & PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION PROGRAM: This continuing project involves establishing a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations. The State of Missouri will effectively manage the JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs by analyzing drug and violent crime environments in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs. The MSHP, coordinating their activities with DPS's CJ/LE Program staff, will complete the following project goals: 1) Provide base-line information to properly assess Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems; and 2) Support successful administration of Missouri's JAG and Program by providing needed research, evaluation, and data processing services.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and support services employed to implement the project.
- Assistance provided in successful development and/or modification of Missouri's drug and violent crime strategy required under the JAG Program including, but not limited to, conducting a statewide illicit drug and violent crime problem analysis and developing an annual grant report
- Number of research services provided to DPS, Missouri criminal justice authorities, and other public officials
- Assistance provided in development and implementation of evaluation criteria and information systems for programs supported under the JAG Program. Publication of a report describing all approved evaluation designs
- Completion of management, statistical, and project monitoring reports based on multi-jurisdictional drug task force, crime lab, and cyber crime multi-jurisdictional task force quarterly progress reports.
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of this project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

MO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS - ENHANCING SAFETY IN DAI: This new project is intended for the acquisition of UHF and VHF base stations, hand-held radios, and desktop consoles for 14 institutions to replace and improve outdated radio systems. The goals of this project include: 1) to improve institutional security, 2) ensure compliance with FCC narrowband regulations, and 3) improve public safety by allowing radio interoperability with outside law enforcement agencies. These goals will be achieved by the following objectives: 1) ensuring the department institutions have radio systems compliant with FCC mandates, 2) decreasing incidents of equipment failure, 3) decreasing dead spots in identified institutions, and 4) ensuring staff have the ability to communicate with other institutions and other law enforcement agencies.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Timely acquisition, installation, and implementation of new radio systems
- Number of incidents of equipment failure
- Number of dead spots in department institutions
- Amount and type of equipment / technology installed and training manuals provided
- Number of training hours provided to operators of the radio systems
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

CRIME VICTIM AND WITNESS PROGRAMS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

Crime victim and victim witness programs are designed to provide crime victims, witnesses, and jurors with services while involved in the criminal justice system. Victim programs are geared to help deal with feelings of confusion, frustration, fear, and anger and explain victims or witnesses of their rights. Other activities include advocacy for victims who encounter difficulty while accessing services or who believe their statutory or constitutional rights were denied. Victim programs also provide notification and assistance to victims whose offenders have parole potential.

Efficiency evaluation designed for:

NO PROGRAMS FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR

CRIME LABORATORY PROJECTS PROGRESS EVALUATION DESIGNS

A key to successful prosecution of drug offenders is analysis of evidence. The Missouri Crime Lab Upgrade Program (MCLUP) is a state-funded grant program that provides state-of-the-art equipment and supplies to regional crime labs throughout the state to help defray expenses of the crime laboratories. Although not JAG or Recovery-JAG related, data collected from all crime laboratories will be of invaluable assistance in conducting Missouri's problem analysis supporting development of its illicit drug and violent crime strategy.

MCLUP Crime Laboratory Recipients:

- Independence Police Department Crime Laboratory
- Kansas City Police Department Crime Laboratory
- Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP) Crime Laboratory
- St. Charles County Sheriff's Office Criminalistics Laboratory
- St. Louis City Metropolitan Police Department Crime Laboratory
- St. Louis County Police Department Crime Laboratory

Quarterly Progress Report Automated Information System designed for Non- Recipients:

- MSHP Troop B Satellite Laboratory
- MSHP Troop C Satellite Laboratory
- MSHP Troop D (Springfield) Satellite Laboratory
- MSHP Troop D (Carthage) Satellite Laboratory
- MSHP Troop E Satellite Laboratory
- MSHP Troop G Satellite Laboratory
- MSHP Troop H Satellite Laboratory

INDEPENDENCE POLICE DEPARTMENT: This project supports the purchase of equipment that will be used daily in the Independence Crime Laboratory for drug and other analyses. Purchased equipment includes a Krimesite Imager Master RUVIS Kit, Black Talon Stabilizer, and training certification course. Supply items include one capillary column.

The RUVIS Kit contains both Krimesite Imager and the Cyclops Imager. This technology locates latent fingerprints without touching or altering the latent fingerprint. The Black Talon Stabilizer is used to provide the correct lens-to-subject distance for viewing and photography capture. Last the one eight hour training certification course is an investment toward getting the most service and benefit from the Krimesite Imager RUVIS kit.

The items mentioned above will improve the Independence Missouri Crime Laboratory's ability to provide quality services to the citizens of the community and will be used for many years.

EVALUATION DESIGN: This project is supported through the Crime Laboratory quarterly status report automated information system.

KANSAS CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT: This project will support supplies and contractual services funding lost to severe budget shortfalls of the Kansas City Crime Laboratory.

The crime laboratory has identified several areas in personnel and supplies without a funding source that will be removed from the upcoming budget. If these areas are not funded, the productivity, efficiency and the effectiveness of the entire crime laboratory would be affected in a negative manner. For this reason, the Kansas City Crime Laboratory is seeking funding sources to supplement this deficit to maintain the current level of quality service that is provided.

Other items include memberships in professional societies that promote an exchange of information.

EVALUATION DESIGN: This project is supported through the Crime Laboratory quarterly status report automated information system.

MISSOURI STATE HIGHWAY PATROL (MSHP): This project supports the purchase of new equipment, maintenance and/or consumables utilized during the analysis of evidence. The Missouri State Highway Patrol provides analysis of evidence submitted by law enforcement agencies from all areas of the state. This evidence may be examined at any one of the eight (8) laboratories operated by the Patrol. The MCLUP funds are used to purchase new equipment, maintenance and/or consumables utilized during the analysis of evidence. Missouri State Highway Patrol Crime Laboratory Division provides analysis of evidence submitted by law enforcement agencies from all areas of our state. Lastly, the grant money will be utilized to purchase a vast array of consumable lab supplies used daily by MSHP crime lab personnel (latex gloves, disposable pipettes, chemicals, reagents, culture tubes, vials, etc...) in performance of their duties.

EVALUATION DESIGN: This project is supported through the Crime Laboratory quarterly status report automated information system.

ST. CHARLES COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE: This project is a crime laboratory upgrade program for the employment of a forensic scientist for the overall existing level of forensic services provided by the St. Charles County Sheriff's Department Criminalistics Laboratory.

EVALUATION DESIGN: This project is supported through the Crime Laboratory quarterly status report automated information system.

ST. LOUIS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT: This project supports the employment of personnel for the drug chemistry section and purchase and upgrade of laboratory equipment that will increase the analytical capacity of the St. Louis Metropolitan Crime Laboratory. Equipment such as powered mobile shelves to house fingerprint cards is needed for the Latent unit. Also Kardex units are in need of being replaced and will be purchased with the grant money. New computer and software will be purchases to upgrade the computer systems.

The St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department also will purchase computers to replace its old computers. The crime laboratory will purchase new Kardex filing units and will upgrade video surveillance cameras both inside and on the exterior of the laboratory.

EVALUATION DESIGN: This project is supported through the Crime Laboratory quarterly status report automated information system.

ST. LOUIS COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT: This project supports the St. Louis County Crime Laboratory that provides forensic services to the over one million citizens of St. Louis County. The laboratory provides support and forensic services to the unincorporated areas of St. Louis County and to the 91 municipalities and 56 law enforcement agencies within St. Louis County. Additionally, the laboratory provides forensic services to any federal law enforcement agency conducting criminal investigations within the Eastern District of Missouri.

The MCLUP Grant funding continues to afford the St. Louis County Police Department the opportunity to enhance personnel at our Police Crime Laboratory by providing funding for one Forensic Scientist position.

Funding from this grant will also assist with the purchase of equipment and supplies for the Chemistry and DNA Sections. This includes desktop computers, monitors, GC/MS, two stereoscopes, camera, and an EZ1 Advanced XL DNA extraction robot.

Overall, the funding from the MCLUP Grant will be used to reduce the Drug and Fire Debris case backlog and provide services currently unavailable to the Police Crime Laboratory.

EVALUATION DESIGN: This project is supported through the Crime Laboratory quarterly status report automated information system.

Crime Lab Quarterly Automated Information System Report:

Instructions

Unless noted otherwise, all data supplied on this quarter report should correspond to activities occurring within the reporting period.

Do not duplicate statistical data that has been reported by another agency!

Cases/Examinations

| Row | Number of Cases |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Number of cases in which all requested examinations were completed (sum of A, B, & C) | |
| A. Number of cases where no tests for illicit drugs were requested | |
| B. Number of cases where illicit drug exams were requested/tested and none were identified | |
| C. Number of cases where illicit drug exams were requested/tested and one or more drugs were identified | |
| Number of active cases pending at the end of the reporting period | |

Cases - Clandestine Laboratory operation related

Identify the number of cases completed during the reporting period in which the following illicit drugs and/or precursors were detected while being produced in a Clandestine Laboratory operation.

| Row | Number of Cases |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Methamphetamine Final Product only | |
| Methamphetamine Precursors only | |
| Methamphetamine Precursors and Final product | |
| LSD | |
| PCP | |
| Other Illicit Drugs | |

Cases - Not Clandestine Laboratory operation related

Identify the number of cases completed during reporting period, that were not directly related to Clandestine Lab operation production, by types of illicit drugs

| Row | Number of Cases |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Marijuana | |
| Cocaine Powder | |
| Crack | |
| Methamphetamine | |
| Heroin/Opiates | |
| LSD | |
| PCP | |
| Other Illicit Drugs | |

Average Processing Time

NOTE: Processing time is from the date case was received to date it was considered completed

Of all cases completed during the reporting period where illicit drugs were suspected, what was the average processing time (in days)?

New Drugs

Were any new illicit drugs identified in the cases completed during the reporting period? Yes No

New Drugs

If you marked Yes to the above question, list the name of the new drug, the number of cases where it was detected, and a description of the new drug. The description should include the classification the drug falls into, such as hallucinogen, inhalant, etc.

Name*

No. of cases*

Description*

Old Drugs

If yes, please list below

Did you notice any resurgence of older drugs in the cases completed during the reporting period? Yes No

Old Drugs

If you marked Yes to the above question, list the name of the older drug, the number of cases where it was detected, and a description of the new drug. The description should include the classification the drug falls into, such as hallucinogen, inhalant, etc.

Name*

No. of cases*

Description*

Equipment

List the types of laboratory equipment acquired with grant funds during the reporting period.

Provide as much information as is available during the reporting period. If an item has been ordered but not yet received and/or installed, indicate TBA under Date Received or Date Operational. Continue to include the item in your quarterly reporting until it is fully operational.

Item*

Quantity*

Date Ordered

Date Received

Date Operational

Narrative

Describe all work activities or areas of interest/concern not reported in the sections above. Provide any information that can be used by the Department of Public Safety to properly review and evaluate your program.